Gregory Mortenson LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 1271 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020

Tel.: (212) 906-1200

Email: gregory.mortenson@lw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation

[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

XAVIER BECERRA, in his official capacity as Secretary of Health and Human Services; CHIQUITA BROOKS-LASURE, in her official capacity as Administrator of Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES; CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-CV-14221-ZNQ-DEA

Hon. Zahid N. Quraishi Magistrate Judge Douglas E. Arpert

JOINT MOTION TO SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE Plaintiff and Defendants respectfully move jointly to set a briefing schedule governing the parties' forthcoming cross-motions for summary judgment, and for related relief requested below.

- 1. On September 1, 2023, Plaintiff Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation ("Novartis") filed this constitutional challenge to the Medicare "Drug Price Negotiation Program" in the Inflation Reduction Act ("IRA"). ECF 1. Novartis seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.
- 2. The parties have met and conferred and agree that this case presents legal questions about the constitutionality of a federal statute that can properly be resolved through dispositive motions, without the need for discovery. The parties intend to file cross-motions for summary judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.
- 3. The parties propose the following stipulated schedule for those crossmotions:
 - Plaintiff will file a motion for summary judgment and supporting memorandum by November 22, 2023.
 - Defendants will file a combined memorandum in support of their own cross-motion for summary judgment, and opposition to Plaintiff's motion, by January 12, 2024.
 - Plaintiff will file a combined reply in support of its motion and opposition to Defendants' cross-motion by February 9, 2024.
 - Defendants will file a reply in support of their cross-motion by March
 6, 2024.

- 4. The parties respectfully request that the Court allow each brief described above to exceed this Court's default page limitations, due to the nature of this case and the consolidated briefing on parallel motions. Specifically, the parties request that the Court set the parties' page limits as follows:
 - Plaintiff's motion: 40 pages
 - Defendants' combined cross-motion and opposition: 40 pages
 - Plaintiff's combined reply and opposition: 40 pages
 - Defendants' reply: 25 pages
- 5. Because this case concerns the facial constitutionality of a federal statute, the parties further respectfully request that the Court dispense with Local Civil Rule 56.1(a)'s requirement that motions for summary judgment be accompanied by separate statements of material facts. The parties agree that all of the material facts in this matter concern the operation of the statutory regime and are set forth in official government documents. The parties therefore do not believe separate statements of material facts would assist the Court in deciding this matter.
- 6. For essentially the same reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court also dispense with Defendants' obligation to file an Answer to the Complaint.
- 7. This Court granted similar joint scheduling requests in two other cases challenging the constitutionality of the IRA. *See* Scheduling Order, *Bristol Myers Squibb Company v. Becerra*, No. 3:23-cv-03335-ZNQ-JBD (July 31, 2023), ECF No. 35; Scheduling Order, *Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Becerra*, No. 3:23-cv-03818-ZNQ-JBD (July 31, 2023), ECF No. 14.

* * *

For the foregoing reasons, the parties request that the Court: (1) order the above stipulated schedule for briefing on the parties' forthcoming cross-motions for summary judgment; (2) grant the parties' joint request to extend page limits for all briefs identified in that schedule; (3) dispense with the parties' obligation to file statements of material facts with their respective cross-motions for summary judgment; and (4) dispense with Defendants' obligation to file an Answer to the Complaint.

Dated: October 25, 2023

s/ Stephen M. Pezzi
STEPHEN M. PEZZI
Senior Trial Counsel
ALEXANDER V. SVERDLOV
CHRISTINE L. COOGLE
Trial Attorneys
United States Department of Justice Civil
Division, Federal Programs Branch
1100 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: (202) 305-8576
stephen.pezzi@usdoj.gov
alexander.v.sverdlov@usdoj.gov
christine.l.coogle@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Gregory Mortenson
Gregory Mortenson
Samir Deger-Sen (pro hac vice pending)
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
1271 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
Tel: (212) 906-1200
gregory.mortenson@lw.com

Daniel Meron (pro hac vice pending) Charles S. Dameron (pro hac *vice* pending) Cherish A. Drain (pro hac vice pending) Graham B. Haviland (pro hac *vice* pending) Christina R. Gay (pro hac vice pending) LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 555 Eleventh Street, NW **Suite 1000** Washington, D.C. 20004-1304 Tel: (202) 637-2200 daniel.meron@lw.com charles.dameron@lw.com cherish.drain@lw.com graham.haviland@lw.com christina.gay@lw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff